**FILED** 

## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**AUG 01 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAVIER RODRIGO MARTINEZ JERONIMO,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-75038

Agency No. A96-152-560

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006 \*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Javier Rodrigo Martinez Jeronimo, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

motion to reopen removal proceedings. We dismiss the petition for review.

The evidence Jeronimo presented with his motion to reopen concerned the same basic hardship grounds as his application for cancellation of removal. *See Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 602-03 (9th Cir. 2006). We therefore lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's determination that the evidence would not alter its prior discretionary determination that he failed to establish the requisite hardship. *See id.* at 600 (holding that 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) bars this court from reviewing the denial of a motion to reopen where "the only question presented is whether [the] new evidence altered the prior, underlying discretionary determination that [the petitioner] had not met the hardship standard.") (Internal quotations and brackets omitted).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.